(28)

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 6-19

1919.

Serial Nos. 275, 299, 305.

(pp)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Three

Part B for april 20

SUBJECT.

Food Supply arrangements.

G. R. S. III—1] 1919-20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P. P.

NOTES.

File No. 6

FILE HEADING.

Food supply arrangements

From The Food Controller in Mysore

No. 10847 Dated 4.3.20 Received 6.3.20

Diary No. 15368 Enclos. 1 copy & Spare Copies. Serial No. 275

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for orders a copy of letter dated 9th February from the Dy Comr. Kolar Dt in regard to rice supplied by the Amildar of Kolar TK to the President Kolar Town Municipality in Nov & Dec 1918 and states that as profit realized by the sale of food grains commandeered

NOTES AND ORDERS.

by Govt should have been credited to Govt and that Govt sanction shd have been obtained for the disposal of the profit in any other way.

Submd for orders

Mys 8/3/20 - Chief Secy)

NOTES—First Sheet.

(G. R. S. III-2]

15368 2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P. P File No. 6 of 1919

Serial No.

It is not clear what is meant by a municipal depot if the funds for starting it were obtained from private parties & not advanced by the municipality.

In the early days of the Food control operations private & informal agencies - partly philanthropic & partly profit - appear to have been made use of to supply local needs.

The profit realised has been rather small & it has been used for a quasi public purpose. The action may be ratified but the persons concerned should be told that they ought not to repeat such acts. If when accounts are fully audited any larger profit should be found to have been realised & should the balance should be duly accounted

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAR 10 1920
Reg. No. 6199

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

VS 368

3

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P. P File No. 6 of 191

Serial No.

Draft Srlid

Nic

19/3/20 Chief Secr)

Draft approval

Dewan

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAR 26 1920
Reg. No. 6607

CSO

26/3/20

MM 26/3

Draft approved by Dewan

29/3

Sme

MM

19/3

The Dy Commissioner Hassan

No G 22721 Dt 3-4-20

Fwd a copy of letter refd to in Dl 275 and the enclosure re the supply of rasgi by the Amildar of Kolar Taluk to the Presidents of the Kolar Municipality in November 19/8 and Communicates the displeasure of the Govt the action taken by him

To

The Rev Comr

" Fcd Controller

No G 22722-3 Dt 3-4-20

Fwd Copies of the above

from the Dy Comr Hassan

D.O. Dt 4-4-1920

G. R. S. III-2]

1176 4

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P. W. File No. 6 of 1919

Serial No.

Acknowledges receipt of .Sl 299
& submits for consideration certain facts not refd to in the Karan Dy Com's letter

Chief Secy's orders:
" Please put up with the papers". Dirto) C&TB 5/4/20

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on APR 13 1920
Reg. No. 7067

Subd with refees
Hg
9/4/20
Chief Secy)

9/4/20

Asst Secy will kindly bring up in person CBS 12/4

Imperial Dewan

CBS
12/4/20

Seen
Seen
20.4.20
20-4-20

CHIEF SECRETARY'S POLITICAL BRANCH. 24 APR. 1920

IN REPLY REFER TO
NO. 10847
ENCL. Copy of letter No 115/19-20 dt 9-2-20 with enclosures

Tel : Address
"CIVICUS"

Office of the Food Controller and
Director of Civil Supplies in Mysore
Camp Mysore,
Bangalore, Dated.....4th March.....1920.

DIARY NO. 15368

6 MAR 1920

FROM

K. MATTHAN ESQ., B. A.,
Food Controller and Director of Civil Supplies in Mysore.

SECTION
FILE NO.

TO

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of letter No. 115/19-20 of the 9th February 1920 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, with its enclosures in original in regard to ragi supplied by the Amildar of Kolar taluk against an advance of Rs.600 from the President of the Kolar Municipality. Certain irregularities in the accounts of the Amildar as regards this transaction have been reported to Government in my letter No.9827 of the 7th February and the further report promised therein will be duly submitted after the audit report is received from the Assistant Comptroller. The present communication of the Deputy Commissioner relates to the disposal of the ragi and its sale proceeds by the President. It will be seen that the profits arising out of the sale are said to have been utilised for making a Kavacha for an idol. The Deputy Commissioner says that the President has not even now reported to him the actual amount of the profits realised, but from the information furnished to me by the President when I visited

visited Kolar last it would appear that the realisations amounted to Rs.1,982-8-6 and the amount remitted to the Amildar towards price of ragi was Rs.1,798-4-9 leaving a surplus of Rs.184-3-9. The Deputy Commissioner's letter shows how there have been conflicting reports as to the manner in which this business was financed. But the utulization of the profit towards the purpose abovenamed appears to be covered by an order passed by the then Deputy Commissioner Mr. Venkoba Rao in his office memo dated 17th May 1919 which reads as follows:- "There appears to have been an understanding with the merchant to use the profit for the Kavacha of a God. This understanding may stand and price charged at cost price".

2. When food grains were acquired by Government, officers under powers vested in them by law and were subsequently sold at higher rates realising a profit the profit should have gone to Government just as losses are also debitable to Government. The disposal of the profit in any other manner would require the sanction of Government and I have the honour to request to be favoured with the orders of Government in the matter

3. In the last paragraph of the Deputy Commissioner's letter it is stated that the file of original correspondence connected with this subject has been sent to me with his letter of the 30th January in another connection and that copies relating to his case have been enclosed. The original correspondence has been returned to the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honour to be.
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Food Controller & Director.

Letter No. 115/19-20 dated 6/9th February 1920 from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District to the Food Controller in Mysore.

I have the honor to state that before the Government advances were made available for commandeering purposes, the Amildar of the Kolar Taluk had obtained an advance of Rs. 600/- on the 20th November 1918 from Mr. T.Srinivasa Char, President of the Kolar Town Municipality, for supplying ragi to the Kolar Municipal Depot. Certain quantities of ragi were issued to the President from the Taluk Depot from time to time and the President was remitting to the Taluk Office the sale proceeds. On the 24th February 1919, the Amildar ofKolar sent a bill to the President claiming Rs. 5/- from him as the total quantity of ragi issued from the Government Depot was valued at Rs. 1995 at Rs. 15/- candy of 180 seers, the amounts received from the President including the advance of Rs. 600/- being only 1950/-. The President objected to pay contending that"the ragi supplied to the Municipal depot could only be charged at the cost price Viz: Rs. 14/- per candy" and requested the Amildar to return the Rs. 600/- which he had advanced from his own private funds. The Amildar reported the matter to the Deputy Commissioner for orders. The President was then asked to state at what rate he was selling the ragi supplied to him, and what was the profit realised, and to whom it should go. The President replied in his letter No. 414 dated 1st May 1919, that the ragi was sold at the ~~maxium~~ Maximum retail prices prevalent as per Government notification, some at 12 and some at 11 seers per rupee, that the exact amount of profit earned could only be ascertained after detailed calculations, and that the profit

earned would have to be distributed to the persons who financed the Depot. As the President at first stated, in his letter to the Amildar dated 17th March 1919, that the advance was from his private funds, he was asked to state who were the persons who financed and waht amount was given by each. To which the President replied that one Mr. B.Lakshminarayana Chetty, merchant was the chief financier of the concern. But even here he was some how not disposed to specify the names of the "Minor financiers", nor the amount advanced, by this chief Financier. Mr. Venkoba Rao, Deputy Commissioner, sent for this merchant and after an interview with him passed the following order:---

" There appears to have been understanding with the merchant to use the profit for the Kavacha of a God. This understanding may stand and price charged at cost price".

The Amildar was accordingly informed and the ragi supplied to the President was charged at cost price.

This matter came to my notice when I perused the correspondence relating to the Comptroller's office Auditor's objectio about the Amildar's omission to enter in the Casn account the advance of Rs 600/- received by the Amildar from the President It appeared to me that the sanction of Government was necessa for allowing the profits realised at the Municipal depot to b used for the Kavacha of a God. It was clear that the Amildar who had obtained the advance from the President, was not a party to the understanding about the disposal of the profits in this manner, and the Amildar, when asked to state the condition on which the advance was received, reported that the only condition was to return the same. As the circumstances under which such an understanding with the merchant was come to by the President were not clear from the correspondence and as the President did

not give particulars of the captial invested in this transa and the exact amount of profits realised, I requested him i No. 476 dated 26th December 1919 to furnish me with the particulars and to keep the amount of profits in deposit till the sanction of Government is received. He has replied on 26th/27th January to the effect that the profits" have already been made use of for the Kavacha of God Ganesha in the Municipal Chavadi---a Muzari Institution". He has however again omitted to give the exact amount of the profits realised and thus made use of though specifically requested to do so.

As regards the circumstances under which such an arrangement was made, the President states as follows:

Before the supplies were received from the Taluk office there was an organization brought into existence in the Town of Kolar for supplying food-stuffs to the poor at the cost price by some of the liberal minded merchants in Kolar, who financed the organization by means of realising food-stuffs they had got. When the organization was about to be suspended by reason of want of stocks in the town, there was a severe hubub and the Revenue authorities were requested to supply ragi for the organization. The Deputy Commissioner directed the Amildar to give the supplies. The Amildar wanted some money to be deposited with him as he had to give advance to ryots from whom he had to commandeer and as he had not funds and as the Government had not authorised him to utilise Government funds, I had to raise a fund of R 600/- to be deposited with the Amildar as a permanent advance for the purpose. Mr. Lakshmi Narayana Chetty, a philanthropic merchant, gave me the necessary amount and told me that he would bear the loss if any, sustained in the transaction and that if there should be any surplus, the amount should be utilised for a Kavacha for God Ganesha in the Municipal Chavadi, as such a proposal had long been in the air, and

had not been executed for want of funds. These are the circumstances so far as I remember and the amount was taken from him on such a distant understanding as otherwise such a big amount could not be got soon elsewhere."

When an organization for supplying food-stuffs to the poor at cost price was brought into existence by liberal minded philanthropic gentlemen merchants of Kolar, it is not clear why the President found it necessary to come to an understanding with Mr. B.Lakshminarayana Setty to get the Rs. 600/- to be deposited with the Amildar for the purpose and why such understanding was not made known to the Amildar when the amount was advanced to him. It is also difficult to reconcile this statement of facts as detailed above by the President, with what he wrote to the Amildar on 17-3-1919 viz., I request the amount I had advanced from my private funds viz., Rs. 600/- may be kindly returned to me as soon as convenient and with what he wrote the Personal Assistant (Mr. D . C . Subbarayappa) on 7th May 1919 viz.," the profits earned will have to be distributed to the persons who financed the Depot", or on 2nd May 1919 to the same officer viz., that Mr. Lakshminarayana Setty was the Chief Financier of the concern". From such inconsistant and vague replies of the President and his apparent unwillingness to state the exact amount of the profits realised, or specify those who actually financed the concern, if there was any such at all, I feel a doubt whether the understanding in question is not after all an afterthought. But there seems to be no use of discussing this ascept of the question now, as Mr. Venkoba Ra has accepted the understanding which has been given effect to and I have only to bring this matter to your notice so that you may address the Government, if necessary and obtain their

orders.

The file of original correspondence was sent to you with my letter No. F.C. 113/19-20 dated 30th January 1920 in another connection. Copies of the correspondence relating to the matter now under advertence are enclosed for ready reference.

COPY

for Food Controller and Director

K.G.P. Civil Supplies in Mysore.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 7.12.19

[illegible] (5-0-0) [illegible]

[illegible] 479 [illegible]

[illegible] 355/17.3.19 [illegible]

[illegible] 15 [illegible]

[illegible] 14 [illegible]

[illegible]

8.3.19

[illegible] [illegible] Badami

From

The President, Municipal Council, Kolar Town.

To

K.Lingopanth Badami, Esquire, B.A., Amildar, Kolar.

Dated 17-3-1919.

Despatched "

No:355.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: 480 dated nil enclosing a bill for Rs.5/- and requesting for a remittance, I have the honor to state that the ragi supplied by you to the Municipal Depot could only be charged at the cost price Viz:Rs.14/- per candi and not at Rs.15/- as per your bill. The difference in the prices charged will have therefore to be refunded to me since the rate at which the ragi is to be charged for is in dispute. I request the amount I had advanced from my private funds Viz: Rs.600/- may kindly be returned to me as soon as convenient, the necessary adjustment being made after price is definitely fixed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Sd. T.Srinivasachar,
President.

Ref: Kolar Amildar's Kannada report No:870 dated 18-3-1919.

Submitted

This is a report from the Amildar of Kolar Taluk bringing to notice, for the first time now, that a difference has arisen between himself and the President of the Kolar -- Municipality in the matter of the rate at which ragi supplied in November and December last should be charged for. The President contends that he is prepared to pay only at cost price, namely Rs.14/- per candy as against Rs.15/- claimed by the Amildar. It would be worth while ascertaining in this connection at what rate the President sold the ragi to the townspeople. He says he advanced the money from his private funds and there appears to be no reason why he should be allowed to make a profit out of the transaction in preference to Government. If he himmself has sold the ragi at cost price namely Rs.14/- per candy the question is different and in that case it would be unfair to charge him higher and put him to loss thereby.

It is reported as desired by the President, the Amildar has repaid the advance of Rs.600/- to him. I don't see the necessity for such a step particularly in view of the fact that in return for the same of Rs.1950/- advanced from time to time by the President., ragi of Value of 1955/- has already been - supplied to him. Even if cost price is allowed to be charged for the utmost amount that would become due to the President would be about Rs.135/- or so and for this there is no reason why Rs.600/- should be repaid to him pending adjustment of the accounts. It would have been better if Mr. Mustafa's advance of Rs.520/- had been repaid to him out of this amount.

In any case, if orders are passed on the present reference of the Amildar, it would facilitate his adjusting all outstanding advances which have unfortunately been pending for a longer time than they ought to.

(Initialled) D.C.S. 21-3-19.

No: Dated 21st March 1919.

From the Personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner.

Dear Mr. Srinivasa Char,

I shall feel much obliged if you will be so good as to let me know whether a Bill for Rs.5/- was sent to you on the 24th February last by the Amildar of Kolar Taluk in connection with the ragi supplied to you from time to time during November last and whether the said bill is still remaining unadjusted and if so, why?

Yours sincerely,

Sd. D. C. Subbarayappa,

From the President to D.C.Subbarayappa, Esquire.

21st March 1919.

Dear Mr. Subbarayappa,

It is a fact that a bill was sent but I protested that I was due anything and since there was a difference between the Amildar and myself regarding the rate at which the ragi was to be charged for. I noticed for the return of the amount advanced Viz: Rs.600/- which I have got back.

Yours sincerely,.

Sd. T. Srinivasa Char.

"COPY"

16

D.O.No:C.1227 Dated 24th April 1919.

Dear Mr. Subbarayappa,

I send you papers connected with the Kolar Food Depot. Please see them and put up a draft to the Food - Controller and send back the papers to me in a sealed cover. I presume there has been no irregularity worth being reported. Please bring out the facts in the reports.

With regard to the rate to be charged for the Municipality for ragi supplied by the Amildar of Kolar, the question is with whom is the difference between the selling rate and the buying rate now and to whom goes the profit if only cost price is recovered for ragi supplied to the President of the Municipality. Kindly put up notes on these points.

Yours sincerely,
Sd.B.Venkoba Rao.

Submitted.

A draft reply to the Comptroller to Government is put up on the lines indicated above and the papers are returned as desired.

With regard to the difference between the rate offered by the Amildar and that accepted by the President, it is seen from the Amildar's report that this sum which amounts to ------ Rs. 151-11-3 is now with the President. There is nothing on record to show to whom goes the profit if only cost price is recovered. Presumably, it goes to the President or to those who financed him.

Please ask the President.

(Intd) B.V. 29-4-19.

(Intd).D.C.S. 25-4-19.

Herewith D.O. letter to the President ---

Intd) D.C.S. 30-4-19.

My D.O. letter to the President and his reply thereto are submitted herewith for orders.

(Intd) D.C.S. 1-5-19.

17

No: 901.

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

In continuation of my report No: 870 dated 19-3-1919, re: the price at which the supply of ragi made to the President, Kolar Town Municipality, has to be billed for. It is submitted that a sum of Rs.448-4-9 was received from the President, being the balance due according to his calculations. He wants to pay at Rs.14/- per candy of 180 seers, while I claim at Rs.15/- per candy. Early orders are solicited to know what rate the amount has to be recovered and adjusted.

29-3-1919. Sd. K.Lingopanth Badami,
Amildar, Kolar Taluk.

Submitted.

I have nothing to add to my note on the previous report of the Amildar dated 18th March 1919. If orders are passed as to whether the Municipal President should be charged at Rs.15/- or at Rs.14/- per candy, the Amildar will be in a position to adjust the accounts with the President. There is no reason why the amount noted over leaf as having been received from the President should not be paid at once to Mr.Mustafa Sab towards the adjustment of the sum of Rs.520/- due to him.

(Intd.) D.C.S.
31-3-19.

Ref.315 of 18-19 dated 1-5-19.

From The Personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To T.Srinivaschar, Esquire, President, Kolar Municipality.

Dear Mr. Srinivasachar

In connection with the dispute, that has arisen between you and the Amildar of the Kolar Taluk regarding the price that should be charged for the ragi supplied to you some months ago, I am desired by the Deputy Commissioner to obtain information from you on the following points:-

1. At what price was the ragi in question sold out by you?
2. What will be the profit, if any, if the ragi supplied to you is charged for at cost price.

and 3. To whom does such profit go.

I shall feel obliged if you will be so good as to let me have an early reply in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

(Intd) D. C. S.

"COPY"

19

No:414. Dated 1-5-1919.

From The President, Kolar Town Municipality.

To D.C.Subbarayappa, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Dear Sir,

In reply to your enquiries, I beg to state that

(1) Ragi was sold at the maximum retail prices prevalent as per Government Notification Viz: 12 seers per rupee and 11 seers per rupee.

(2) The exact amount of profit earned can only be ascertained after detailed calculations, and

(3) the profit earned will have to be distributed to the persons who financed the Depot.

Yours sincerely,

Sd. T.Srinivasachar.

Please ascertain these persons from the President and the money each gave.

(Intd)B.V.
1-5-19.

No:315 of 18-19 dated 1-5-19.

From

The Personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

To

T. Srinivaschar, Esquire,

President, Town Municipality.

Dear Mr. Srinivaschar,

Thanks for your D.O.letter of even date.

I am desired to request you to be so good as to let me know who are all the persons who financed you for purchasing ragi from the Amildar of the Kolar Taluk in November last and what is the amount of money given by each.

Yours sincerely,

Sd.D.C.Subbarayappa.

"COPY"

No:416 Dated 2-5-19.

From The President, Municipal Council, Kolar Town.

To D.C.Subbarayappa, Esquire, Personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar.

Dear Sir,

I have to intimate to you that Mr. B.Lakshminarayana Setty was the chief financier for the concern.

Yours sincerely.

Sd.T.Srinivasachar.
President.

Submitted.

(Intd) D.C.S.
2-5-19.

Try.P.A.

Please ask Mr. Lakshminarayana Setty direct to speak to me at 9 A.M. on the 6th May.

Intd. B.M

Kolar,
3-5-1919.

Dear Sir,

The Deputy Commissioner will be glad to meet you at his bungalow at p A.M. on the 6th May.

Yours sincerely,

Sd.D.C.Subbarayappa,
Personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner.

To

B.Lakshminarayana Setty Esquire,
Merchant, Kolar.

Subd.

Now that the merchant who financed the Municipal President for the purchase of ragi had an interview with the Deputy Commissioner, orders may kindly be passed about the rate to be charged for the ragi supplied by the Kolar Amildar.

Intd.) D.C.S.
8-5-19.

1. What does the profit come to re this merchant's capital?
2. Please ask President Kolar Municipality to speak to me at 8 A.M. on 17-5-19.

(Intd) B.V.
12-5-19.

ubmitt

The President says that this merchant was"the chief inancier"of the concern; and so it is not possible to say what xactly was the capital advanced by him and what was the profit ealised thereon. It might perhaps be possible to elicit definite nformation on the point during the interview with the President omorrow morning.

(Intd) D.C.S.
16-5-19.

There appears to have been an understanding with the merchant to use the profit for the Kavacha of a God. This understanding may stand and price charged at cost price.

(Intd.) B.V.
17-5-19.

Food Clerk.

Inform Amildar accordingly and ask him to adjust all the outstanding accounts now.

(Intd.) D.C.S.17-5-19.

"COPY"

No.H.C.315/18-19.

To

The Amildar, Kolar Taluk.

There appears to have been an understanding between the Municipal President and the merchant who was the Chief -- financier of the concern to use the profit for the Kavacha of a God. In view of this fact, the ragi supplied to the President in November last may be charged for at cost price. All outstanding accounts in connection with the affair including the amount due to Mr. Mustafa Sab should be adjusted without any further delay.

(Intd.) D.C.S.

19-5-19.

Copt to the President.

Kolar Town.

26/27 - 1 - 1920.

Dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your D.O. No.476 dated 26th Decembe 1919. The delay in replying to it is due to the office having mislaid the letter for which I beg to be excused.

The balance from the ragi account has already been mad use of for the Kavacha which had been got ready by the Ganesha festival of the last year and the Purohits sent from the Muzrai Office on my reference officiated at the ceremonies connected therewith. The Kavacha was for God Ganesha in the Municipal -- Chavadi, a Muzrai Institution.

The circumstances under which the arrangement was come to are as follows:-

Before the supplies were received from the Taluk Offic there was an organization brought into existence in the Town of Kolar for supplying food-stuffs to the poor at cost price by -- some of the liberal minded merchants in Kolar who financed the organization by means of releasing food-stuffs they had got. When the organization was about to be suspended by reason of -- want of stocks in the Town, there was a severe hubub and the -- Revenue authorities were requested to supply ragi for the organi- zation. The Deputy Commissioner directed the Amildar to give the supplies. The Amildar wanted some money to be deposited with him as he had to advance to ryots from whom he had to commandeer and as he had no funds and as the Government had not then authorised him to utilise Government funds, I had to raise a fund of Rs.600 to be deposited with the Amildar as a permanent advance for the purpose. Mr.Lakshminarayana Setty a philanthropic merchant gave me the necessary amount and told me that he would bear the loss if any, sustained in the transaction and that if there should be any surplus, the amount should be utilized for a Kavacha for God Ganesha in the Municipal Chavadi, as such a proposal had l been in the air and had not been executed for

These are the circumstances so far as I remember and the amount was taken from him on such a distinct understanding as otherwise such a big amount could not be got soon elsewhere.

I understand Mr. B. Venkoba Rao, made all enquiries necessary in connection with it and I presume has left a note of the result of his enquiries in the record.

Yours sincerely,

(Sd.). T. Srinivasachar
President.

To

M. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

Dear Sir

I am desired to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 10847, dated the 4th March 1920, from the Food Controller, with its enclosure letter No. 115, dated 9th February 1920, from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar, regarding the supply of ragi by the Amildar of Kolar Taluk to the President of the Kolar Municipality in November 1918 and to observe that the appropriation of the profits realized in the transactions for providing a kavacha to the diety ~~in the~~ Ganesa ~~Temple~~ was irregular. Government note that this step was taken with your approval and consider that your action in the matter cannot be justified. I am accordingly to convey to you the displeasur of the Government in regard to this matter.

Yours sincerely,

22/3/20

2227/22-3 9 3/4 19.3.20

Copy to

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Food Controller in Mysore with refe ence to his No. 10847, dated 4-3-20.

By Order

Confidential

PP 17176
6-19 Kasauli
4.4.20

Please return papers
C.O.S. 5/4/20
305

Dear Sir,

Your confidential No.
S. 22721 (2) PP 6-19-299
dated 3rd April 1920 in re-
losing certain hosiery for a
Kavacha at Kolar -

I am not quite sure
that I understand for the consi-
tion of Government just
act which is not referred
& in my successor's report
The fort fixed maximum
wholesale and retail prices
and the difference was intended

& 90 & the Merchants who
Conducted the retail Trade
without Cost & Court and
at Some Cost & Removing
of time, trouble and Money.
I believe the profits depended
& represent only this difference
between the authorised
wholesale and retail, Prices.
I don't believe that any
interest on Capital has been
charged - The sum of the
profits earned by the retail
dealing Merchants for any
purpose they liked was
Covered by the General
orders of Government
fixing the maximum whole-

Sale and Purchase [illegible]
The Merchants wanted the profits for the Karacha, not because it was not their money due & them for Conducting retail sales, but because if they divided their own profits, they would not be philanthropic which they wanted to be.

I was forced to pass order about the Karacha, because it was in the existing orders riding, but because the order of Kolar, in order to explain his delay in remitting 600 Rs to the Treasury which was found by the

auditor, returned the 500 Rs
to the President and insisted
on raising this question and
saying that the delay in
sanctioning was due to this
cause - My recollection is that
I had to pass an order to
put an end to further delay
I am not responsible for
the inconsistencies of the
auditor and the President
of the Municipality

Yours sincerely
Srinivasa Rao
By Court

To
C. S. Balasundaram Esq B.A
Chief Secretary to Govt